Numerical Calculations of Field Enhancements due to Small Grooves

Tetsuo ABE (KEK)

5th Collaboration Meeting on
X-band Accelerator Structure Design and Test Program
@SLAC, USA
2011-05-18

Computation of RF Fields by CST-MWS

~ Geometry and Definition ~

Rectangular Waveguide with f_{cutoff}(TE10) = 10 GHz



e.g. $|\mathbf{E}|$



3 Parameters to Describe the Groove



$$\text{Enhancement Factor} \cong \frac{E_{max}}{E_{ref}}$$

Simulating:
- Chamfer of the Quadrants
- etc.

Meshing Parameters and Mesh-Size Dependence

FDSolver.Method "Hexahedral Mesh"
Mesh.MeshType "PBA" ‘(Perfect Boundary Approx.)
Mesh.LinesPerWavelength “300"
Mesh.AutomeshRefineAtPecLines "True", “**RAPL**"
FDSolver.AccuracyHex "1e-6"

RAPL=2 (default)



RAPL=5



Using a function: “GetFieldVectorSurface()”
→ Better field interpolation scheme on PEC surfaces



RAPL=2 (default)





RAPL=5(→Adopted)



Results


Gap
Δ
R=50μm


RF
E-Field Enhancement Factor
1.7
1.6
1.5
1.4
1.3
1.2
1.1
1
0
5
10
15
20
25
30
Δ [μm]
Gap: 0
Gap: 10 μm
Gap: 20 μm
Gap: 40 μm

Check the Results(1): E-Static Fields by CST-EMS





3 Parameters to describe the groove



$$\text{Enhancement Factor} \cong \frac{E_{max}}{E_{ref}}$$

Meshing Parameters and Mesh-Size Dependence

EStaticSolver.Method "Hexahedral Mesh"
Mesh.MeshType "PBA" ‘(Perfect Boundary Approx.)
Mesh. MinimumStepNumber “100"
Mesh.AutomeshRefineAtPecLines "True", “**RAPL**"
EStaticSolver.Accuracy "1e-9"

RAPL=2(default)



RAPL=5



RAPL=5(→Adopted)

Comparison of the Results

~Cross-Check of the Computations~



Good Agreements as expected

Check the Results(2): Simulation using Omega3P (by Zenghai Li)

CST-MWS (T. Abe) (update)		
Gap (micron)	Δ (micron)	Emax / Enominal
0	0	1.21
0	20	1.36
10	20	1.37





SLAC Omega3P (Z. Li)		
Gap (micron)	Δ (micron)	Emax / Enominal
0	0	1.23
0	20	1.40
10	20	1.44









Fairly Good Agreements

How About the Magnetic Fields

e.g. R=50μm, Gap=20μm, Δ=30μm

TE10-like Mode







Comparison of the Results





Conclusions

- **Field enhancements due to small grooves computed by using CST-MWS/EMS**
  - At least 20% enhancement for R=50μm round chamfer.
  - Increases up to 40% enhancement as the Δ size increases up to 25μm.

- **More simulations to be studied**

End of the Slides